

Bank of Canada Fires A Hawkish Warning Shot

Scotiabank Economics · 2026-09-02 · English original

The policy rate was unchanged at 2.25% as universally expected

October is a 'live' meeting. Markets now pricing 75–100bps of hikes

The overall tone was incrementally hawkish...

...as the BoC removed reference to the policy rate being “appropriate”...

...and warned that upside risks to inflation have increased...

...while nevertheless downplaying tariffs as behaviour has adapted

Fresh inflation forecasts in October were noted as guiding the policy decision

October's Bank of Canada meeting is 'live' and on watch for hike risk in the wake of updated communications from the Bank of Canada. The communications reinforce conviction in our forecast for at least 75bps of rate hikes starting in Q4 into early 2027.

Markets responded to the communications (here, here and here) by pushing the two-year GoC yield higher by 8bps, thereby underperforming other markets including the US. The Canadian dollar appreciated by over half a penny to the USD.

The October meeting is now priced at 10bps of a 25bps hike. December is pricing 22bps of a 25bps hike. Markets are now pricing between 75–100bps of tightening by next summer.

We'll see what happens, but as a reminder, Scotiabank Economics is the only shop that has been forecasting tightening by year-end right back to our November 2025 forecast and way ahead of markets.

Charts 1–3 show intraday market moves around the communications.

Sometimes it's the silence that says enough and this silence wasn't of the comfortable sort to markets. While the Bank of Canada left its overnight rate unchanged at 2.25% as universally expected, it struck out reference to how “the current policy rate remains appropriate.” That omission speaks volumes when combined with the next point.

They also explicitly warned that “upside risks to inflation have increased” in statement codified fashion and in Governor Macklem's opening remarks.

The broad tone somewhat traded off upside risks to inflation alongside more uncertainty over the economic rebound as noted in the concluding paragraph to the statement, but the press conference swept away any doubt over which way the BoC's policy actions would lean in terms of that mixture. The statement and presser made clear that their 2% inflation goal is sacrosanct, that inflation is too high as explicitly noted, and that upside risks have increased and that this will be the BoC's “beacon” for future policy adjustments.

We can otherwise forgive some other stretched truths in the statement, like how the economy and inflation have performed in line with their expectations. No they haven't. Q2 GDP growth of 3.3% surpassed the BoC's 2.8% projection and Q1 was revised up by 0.4 ppts for a net beat to their expectations of nearly a percentage point. Ditto for inflation at 3% y/y whereas the BoC had forecast 2.7% for Q3. They were in line with the direction but these are meaningful overshoots of the BoC's expectations and probably played a role in spooking them somewhat. After all, today was a total narrative shift by the BoC compared to previously.

Macklem warned that inflation risk had pivoted higher because the longer the conflict in the Middle East persists, the greater the pass through risk of higher oil into other prices. Chart 4 shows the current WTI futures curve toward the high points of the conflict to date. He should have spoken more broadly about commodity price pressures since it's not just about oil and gas. He also said that the longer the conflict goes on, the longer the upward pressure upon refiners' margins which is definitely happening (chart 5). That makes it all the more curious why Canada just extended the fuel excise tax suspension given that refiners crowded in the space in a tight market.

Governor Macklem constantly emphasized that businesses have adjusted to tariffs and uncertainty and that he expects this to continue to be the case. In fact, while he responsibly flagged uncertainty, I thought he went out of his way to downplay the role this would play in guiding future decisions while playing up the cost implications of tariffs.

Macklem put a lot of attention on the next forecast round in October and intimated that the decision will be guided by those forecasts:

"We will have a new forecast at our next meeting. Our interest rate decisions will be guided by the outlook for inflation. That will be front and center in our next decision."

In my opinion, you don't warn, then issue fresh forecasts, only to whiff in the moment. There is a lot more information to digest between now and October 28th, such as data on inflation and jobs and GDP, plus energy market and trade developments, but the BoC very clearly cracked open the door by enough to increase flexibility to tighten as soon as the next meeting if everything cooperates. That's not clear as yet, but the relative risk-reward on October versus December pricing should be attracting more attention toward October.

When asked during the press conference about the risk of multiple back-to-back rate increases, Macklem not only did not bat it away, he responded in the affirmative.

All of which is a total narrative shift by the BoC. What happened is captured by domestic data upsides, higher energy and other commodity prices for longer, and unspoken assumptions about additional fiscal policy actions.

Please see the attached statement comparison that follows the press conference transcript. We will soon be issuing fresh forecasts.

The following is an attempt at providing a full transcript of the Q&A part of the press conference. Any errors or omissions are to be blamed on my typing abilities in keeping up.

Q1. Are you more concerned with inflation than any drag on growth from the trade conflict?

A1. We highlighted both risks. We're concerned about both risks. Momentum is encouraging. There is still slack. New American trade actions create uncertainty. Inflation is 3% which remains too high. It is very concentrated in gasoline and energy. CPI ex-gasoline is 2.2%. But the conflict in the Middle East is

going on. The longer it goes on, the greater is the risk that higher energy prices start to spill into other prices and you get more generalized inflation. The risks are shifting and we are prepared to adjust monetary policy as needed. The most important thing that is going to guide our decision going forward is our inflation forecast and the risks around that. It includes slack, higher oil prices, the potential for that to spill over. We'll be updating that forecast at our next meeting. That will really guide our decisions. Our beacon is our 2% inflation target. We are committed to it.

Q2. Counter tariffs go into effect on Sept 8th. How do you judge this?

A2. They will add costs. Those costs could feed through to consumer prices. The counter-tariffs are mostly on intermediate inputs. Not many of them will directly impact CPI. That means the effect is less direct and it takes more time to pass through. We will be refining our estimates. Our assessment at this point is that the inflationary impact of the retaliatory tariffs is modest. The bigger issue is what's going on in the Middle East.

Q3. You previously said further rate cuts may be needed if the US imposes further restrictions. Do you see that happening in the near future if trade tensions drag on or intensify?

A3. When the US first imposed tariffs we did cut and held it low to support the economy. The tariffs have weight on growth. We saw the economy rebound in the second quarter. Businesses are telling us they are adapting to tariffs and shifting their supply chains. You can see that in the data. Exports and investment have come up, hiring has been stronger. There is a new challenge with the breakdown in trade discussions with the US. That makes that rebound more uncertain. But, as I stressed, we have to keep our eye on inflation. The conflict in the Middle East is key and the longer it goes on the greater the risk to inflation.

Q4. How are you thinking about how trade tensions play out for the Canadian economy and would they go back to last year's scenarios in terms of downside risks?

A4. We're coming into this with the Canadian economy on a better footing. Businesses have adapted. They're getting on and finding ways to do business. These tariffs are very steep but they are applied to a relatively narrow base. The businesses they hit will get hit hard. You will see some impact on growth particularly in Q4. But overall they hit about 5% of our exports to the US (ed. even less total) and we don't expect them to be a big effect. There could be escalation. There could be other outcomes. Canada and the US were close to a deal. Canada is applying counter tariffs in order to get tariffs rolled back. We'll have to assess their impact on the sustainability of this recovery.

Q5. Has the balance of risks been maintained?

A5. I've answered that question so the SDG can answer. Rogers speaking now: risks to growth primarily through trade tensions, risks to inflation that come primarily out of the Middle East. They're both pretty dynamic and there is uncertainty about how these risks transmit through the economy and inflation. Our mandate is to preserve price stability and that's what we are going to be focused upon.

Q6. Does the recent bond rout indicate a healthy repricing of risks? Or are there risks embedded within it? And are US Treasury actions appropriate?

A6. Underneath it all we have high levels of sovereign debt globally with rising issuance but it's not the only factor. The build out of AI infrastructure is driving corporate bond issuance higher. The situation in the Middle East has become worse. Central banks and markets are more concerned about inflation. All these things are working simultaneously. Canada's curve is below the US for many reasons. We are

seeing some spillover of global bond yields into Canada. Perhaps the more serious issues are around financial stability. [ed. passed to Rogers again.]. Rogers: the vulnerability we have spoken about comes when leveraged positions get reversed quickly and spill over from repo markets into core markets. We don't see that happening now. We see a repricing of risk.

Q7. Can you outline how much lower the growth path has been because of tariffs? Is there not higher risk of contagion with these new tariffs?

A7. We've seen growth rebound in Q2. That is evidence that businesses and workers are adjusting. If we could magically roll back tariffs we could get back to a higher growth path. That's not in our control. What is in our control are the investments and structural reforms we do in Canada. We need to diversify our exports, improve productivity, integrate our domestic markets. Monetary policy can't do that. It's not in our control. We have to take that path for potential growth as given but the higher that path is the more the economy can grow without building inflation.

Q8. Why did you drop reference to the policy rate being appropriate?

A8. Since our July meeting, the data has been broadly in line with our forecast. The risks around the forecasts are shifting. The upside risks to inflation have increased. The durability of the rebound is more uncertain. We will have a new forecast at our next meeting. Our interest rate decisions will be guided by the outlook for inflation. That will be front and center in our next decision.

Q9. Could consecutive rate increases be required?

A9. There are a number of scenarios and range of possible outcomes. The best outcome would be resolution in the Middle East and tariffs get rolled back. That's not the only outcome, both could become more complicated. Certainly if we felt that inflation was going to remain too high then we would raise interest rates and would be prepared to do multiple increases but that's not the only outcome. Our objective is to get inflation back to 2%.

Q10. Why are markets pricing three hikes and how much will you factor markets into future decisions?

A10. [Rogers answering]. Markets are pricing in a number of things. We take these factors into account. We talk about market conditions. They all feed into our rate decision.

Q11. Borrowing costs have increased which seem to be doing some tightening. How does this all affect your policy decisions in coming months?

A11. Macklem. I think I have answered that question. We will take into account financial conditions. To the extent that higher interest rates reflect expectations about monetary policy, if that's what we think, then we're prepared to do that. If monetary policy doesn't do what is needed then markets will reprice. The fact that markets understand our reaction function and that the upside risks to inflation increase the higher oil prices are for longer then it gets attention in markets but doesn't mean we don't need to do something.

Q12. How are you thinking about the long-term effects of tariffs and what the US is doing to itself even if things go back to normal between Canada and the US?

A12. This US administration likes protectionism. If rationality prevails then we can get back to a better place. Governments should do what's good for their citizens. It would be nice if we could go back to where we were but I don't think we can count on that. Certainly what we've seen over the past year is that businesses have gone from shock and anger to denial to adapting and moving on and that's what

we're seeing. You are seeing the Canadian economy and businesses adapting. The Canadian economy is coping and it is responding. Yes this new round of tariffs are a setback but I have no doubt Canadian businesses will adapt and find new ways of doing business.

This report has been prepared by Scotiabank Economics as a resource for the clients of Scotiabank. Opinions, estimates and projections contained herein are our own as of the date hereof and are subject to change without notice. The information and opinions contained herein have been compiled or arrived at from sources believed reliable but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to their accuracy or completeness. Neither Scotiabank nor any of its officers, directors, partners, employees or affiliates accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this report or its contents.

Source: <https://www.scotiabank.com/ca/en/about/economics/economics-publications/post.other-publications.economic-indicators.scotia-flash.-september-2--2026-.html>